arXiv:1605.01795v2 [math.GR] 15 Sep 2016

FROM LOCAL TO GLOBAL CONJUGACY OF SUBGROUPS OF RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

OLEG BOGOPOLSKI AND KAI-UWE BUX

Abstract. Suppose that a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is not parabolic. Suppose that H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then there exists a finite index subgroup of H_2 which is conjugate into H_1. The minimal length of the conjugator can be estimated.

In the case where G is a limit group, it is sufficient to assume only that H_1 is a finitely generated and H_2 is an arbitrary subgroup of G.

1. Introduction

Serre [15, Corollary 3, page 65] famously observed that a finitely generated group acting on a tree has a global fixed point provided each of its elements fixes a point. If the tree corresponds to an HNN-extension $G = \langle B, t\rangle$, it follows that a finitely generated subgroup H of G conjugates into B if each of its elements conjugates into B. If the tree corresponds to a free product $G = A * B$, the situation is similar: if each element of H conjugates into B, then the whole subgroup conjugates into B. With amalgamation, however, the sutiation is not as clear cut: if each element of a finitely generated subgroup H conjugates into B, the whole group conjugates into B or into A. In some situations, one is able to use additional information to conclude that H is conjugate into B. This happened to the authors in the proof of [3, Theorem 7.4], which in turn is a central step in the argument of that paper that surface groups are subgroup conjugacy seperable (SCS). Trying to generalize, it is therefore natural to search for sufficient conditions that imply conjugacy of a subgroup into a target given that all elements conjugate into the target. Serre's observation also hints at the importance of negative curvature for this phenomenon.

In [4], we made the first step in this direction. At the heart of the argument there lies the following:

Theorem A of [4]**.** *Let G be a hyperbolic group, let H_1 be a quasiconvex subgroup of G and let H_2 be an arbitrary subgroup of G. Suppose that H_2 is elementwise*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F65, 20F67. Secondary 20E45.

† This research was partially supported by SFB 701, "Spectral Structures and Topological Methods in Mathematics", at Bielefeld University.

conjugate into H_1. Then there exists a finite index subgroup of H_2 which is conjugate into H_1.

We recently learned that Theorem A was already contained in [11, Theorem 1]. We used Theorem A to extend subgroup conjugacy separability to a class of groups including surface groups (see [4]). Meanwhile, Chagas and Zalesskii [6] had generalized our result about surface groups in a different direction showing that limit groups are subgroup conjugacy separable. They use different methods.

Here, we extend Theorem A to relatively hyperbolic groups. From a geometric point of view, a finitely generated group is hyperbolic if it acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly by isometries on a hyperbolic space. In particular, point stabilizers of the action are finite. If we have an action with infinite point stabilizers, non-negative curvature might be hidden there. We would like to regard a group acting cocompactly on a hyperbolic space still as hyperbolic modulo the pieces of the group acting trivially. The notion of a group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups (think of a set of representatives for point stabilizers) is one way to make this intuition precise. We recall a definition suitable for out needs and some further results in Section 2.

Our main result is:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then one of the following holds:*

1. *The subgroup H_2 is parabolic, i.e., conjugate into some element of $\mathbb{P}$.*
2. *Some finite index subgroup of H_2 is conjugate into H_1.*

If H_2 is infinite and nonparabolic, then the length of the conjugator with respect to a finite generating system X of G can be bounded in terms of $|X|$, the quasiconvexity constant of H_1, and the minimal X-length of loxodromic elements of H_2.

If all peripheral subgroups are virtually abelian, then the second option always occurs:

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of virtually abelian subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then some finite index subgroup of H_2 is conjugate into H_1.*

Moreover, the following holds:

(a) *If H_2 is infinite and nonparabolic, then the length of the conjugator with respect to a finite generating system X of G can be bounded in terms of $|X|$, the quasiconvexity constant of H_1, and the minimal X-length of loxodromic elements of H_2.*

(b) *If H_2 is infinite and parabolic, then a conjugator may be chosen whose X-length is bounded a priory in terms of H_1 and the minimal X-length of elements of infinite order in H_2.*

(c) *If H_2 is finite then the finite index subgroup and the conjugator can be taken to be trivial.*

Remark 1.1. In Theorem 3.1, passage to a finite index subgroup of H_2 cannot be avoided even in case that G is a free group. Indeed, let A_4 be the alternating group of degree 4, K the Klein subgroup of A_4, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ a subgroup of K of order 2. Let G be the free group of rank 2 and $\varphi : G \to A_4$ an epimorphism. We set $H_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H_2 = \varphi^{-1}(K)$. Then H_2 is locally, but not globally conjugate into H_2.

For a subset M of a relatively hyperbolic group G, let M^0 denote the subset of all loxodromic elements of M.

Limit groups are hyperbolic relative to a collection of representatives of conjugacy classes of maximal noncyclic abelian subgroups [8, Theorem 4.5], see also [1, Corollary 3.5]. This allows us to apply the main result in this case. In this situation, we also prove that the index depends only on H_1:

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a limit group and let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of G, where H_1 is finitely generated. Suppose that H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then there exists a finite index subgroup of H_2 which is conjugate into H_1.*

The index depends only on H_1. The length of the conjugator with respect to a fixed generating system X of G depends only on H_1 and m, where

$$m = \begin{cases} \min\limits_{g \in H_2^0} dist_X(1, g) & \text{if } H_2^0 \neq \emptyset. \\ \min\limits_{g \in H_2 \setminus \{1\}} dist_X(1, g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As already mentioned, our main result generalizes Theorem A of [4]. Analogously to [4], our main result enables us to prove that a large class of relatively hyperbolic groups are quasiconvex-SCS and quasiconvex-SICS. In particular, we obtain a new proof that limit groups are SCS. We will explain this in a forthcoming paper.

2. Relatively hyperbolic groups

The following discussion is based on [13, Chapter 2]. We shall choose among the many equivalent characterizations of relatively hyperbolic groups a definition that is well suited to our needs. The first paragraphs are taken almost verbatim from [13, Chapter 2], but notation is slightly changed to suit our current needs.

Let G be a group, $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G, X a subset of G. We say that X is a *relative generating set of G with respect to $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$* if G is generated by the set $\left(\bigcup\limits_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_\lambda \right) \cup X$. (We always assume that X is symmetrized, i.e. $X^{-1} = X$.) In this situation the group G can be regarded as the quotient group of the free product

$$F = \left(\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{*} P_\lambda \right) * F(X), \tag{2.1}$$

where $F(X)$ is the free group with the basis X. If the kernel of the natural homomorphism $F \to G$ coincides with the normal closure of a subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq F$, we say that

G has *relative presentation*

$$\langle X, \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \,|\, R = 1\,(R \in \mathcal{R})\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

If X and $\mathcal{R}$ are finite, this relative presentation is called finite and the group G is called *finitely presented with respect to* $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.

Theorem 2.1. ([13, Theorem 1.1]) *Let G be a finitely generated group, $\mathbb{P} = \{P\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G. Suppose that G is finitely presented with respect to $\mathbb{P}$. Then Λ is finite and each subgroup P_λ is finitely generated.*

Suppose that (2.2) is a finite relative presentation. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(P_\lambda \setminus \{1\})$.Given a word W in the alphabet $X \cup \mathcal{P}$ such that W represents 1 in G, there exists an expression

$$W \underset{F}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{k} f_i^{-1} R_i f_i \tag{2.3}$$

with the equality in the group F, where $R_i \in \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}^{-1}$ and $f_i \in F$ for any i. The smallest possible number k in a representation of type (2.3) is denoted $Area^{rel}(W)$.

A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is called a *relative isoperimetric function* of (2.2) if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any word W over $X \cup \mathcal{P}$ of length $|W| \leqslant n$ representing the trivial element of the group G, we have $Area^{rel}(W) \leqslant f(n)$. The smallest relative isoperimetric function of (2.2) is called the *relative Dehn function* of G with respect to $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and is denoted by $\delta^{rel}_{G,\{P_\lambda,\lambda\in\Lambda\}}$ (or simply by δ^{rel} when the group G and the collection of subgroups are fixed).

There are simple examples showing that δ^{rel} is not always well-defined, i.e. it can be infinite for certain values of the argument. However if δ^{rel} is well-defined, it is independent of the choice of the finite relative presentation up to the following equivalence relation. Two functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ are called *equivalent* if there are positive constants A, B, C such that $f(n) \leqslant Ag(Bn)+Cn$ and $g(n) \leq Af(Bn)+Cn$.

Definition 2.2. [13, Definition 2.35 and Corollary 2.54] We call a group G *hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups* $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ if G is finitely presented with respect to $\mathbb{P}$, the corresponding Dehn function is well-defined, and the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$ is a hyperbolic metric space. We call $\mathbb{P}$ a *peripheral structure* for G. A subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is called *parabolic* if it is conjugate into some $P_\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$.

In particular, a group is hyperbolic (in the ordinary non-relative sense) if and only if it is hyperbolic relative to the trivial subgroup.

Here we meet the main difficulty: The space $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$ is hyperbolic, but is not locally finite if $\mathcal{P}$ is infinite. Suppose that X generates G. Then there are two distance functions on $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$, namely $dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}$ and $dist_X$. For brevity, we denote $|AB| := dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}(A, B)$. Clearly, $|AB| \leqslant dist_X(A, B)$.

For reference, we collect a few statements from [2, 9, 13, 14] that will allow us to deal with the relatively hyperbolic case. From now on and to the end of this section, we will assume the following.

Assumption. The group G is generated by a finite set X and is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.

Theorem 2.3. ([13, Theorem 3.26]) *There exists a constant $\nu > 0$ having the following property. Let $\Delta = pqr$ be a triangle whose sides p, q, r are geodesics in $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$. Then for any vertex v on p, there exists a vertex u on the union $q \cup r$ such that*

$$dist_X(u, v) < \nu.$$

Recall that an element $g \in G$ is called *parabolic* if it is conjugate to an element of one of the subgroups P_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. An element is called *hyperbolic* if it is not parabolic. An element is called *loxodromic* if it is hyperbolic and has infinite order.

Lemma 2.4. ([13, Corollary 4.20]) *For any loxodromic element $g \in G$, there exist $\lambda > 0$, $\sigma \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}(1, g^n) \geqslant \lambda|n| - \sigma$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.5. ([13, Corollary 4.21]) *Let g be a loxodromic element in G. If $x^{-1}g^nx = g^m$ for some $x \in G$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $n = \pm m$.*

Recall that a subgroup of a group is called *elementary* if it contains a cyclic subgroup of finite index.

Theorem 2.6. ([14, Theorem 4.3]) *Every loxodromic element $g \in G$ is contained in a unique maximal elementary subgroup, namely in*

$$E_G(g) = \{f \in G \mid f^{-1}g^nf = g^{\pm n} \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Lemma 2.7. ([2, Lemma 3.5]) *Let G be a group hyperbolic relative to the collection of subgroups $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $a \in G \setminus P_\lambda$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\lambda(a) \subseteq P_\lambda$ such that if $x \in P_\lambda \setminus \mathcal{F}$, then ax is loxodromic.*

Lemma 2.8. ([9, Lemma 2.13]) *Let H be a subgroup of a relatively hyperbolic group G. If H is infinite and torsion, then H is parabolic.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let H be a subgroup of a relatively hyperbolic group G. Then H contains a loxodromic element if and only if H is infinite and nonparabolic.*

Proof. Suppose that H is infinite and nonparabolic. By Lemma 2.8, H contains an element h of infinite order. Suppose that h is not loxodromic. Then $g^{-1}hg \in P_\lambda$ for some $g \in G$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since H is not parabolic, there exists $a \in H$ such that $g^{-1}ag \notin P_\lambda$. By Lemma 2.7, there exists a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset P_\lambda$ such that $(g^{-1}ag)x$ is loxodromic for any $x \in P_\lambda \setminus \mathcal{F}$. Since h has infinite order, some power $x = g^{-1}h^ng$ lies outside of $\mathcal{F}$; hence the element $(g^{-1}ag)(g^{-1}h^ng)$ is loxodromic. Thus, ah^n is a loxodromic element in H. The converse direction is obvious. □

Definition 2.10. ([13, Definitions 4.9, 4.11])

Let G be a group generated by a finite set X, $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G.

(a) A subgroup H of G is called relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ (or simply *relatively quasiconvex* when the collection $\mathbb{P}$ is fixed) if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the following condition holds. Let f_1, f_2 be two elements of H and p an arbitrary geodesic path from f_1 to f_2 in $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$. Then for any vertex $v \in p$, there exists a vertex $u \in H$ such that

$$dist_X(v, u) \leqslant \epsilon.$$

(b) A relatively quasiconvex subgroup H of G is called *strongly relatively quasiconvex* if the intersection $H \cap P_\lambda^g$ is finite for all $g \in G$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

In the case of a finitely generated relatively hyperbolic group these notions do not depend on a choice of a finite generating set:

Proposition 2.11. ([13, Proposition 4.10]) *Let G be a group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and let H be a subgroup of G. Suppose that X_1, X_2 are two finite generating sets of G. Then H is (strongly) relatively quasiconvex with respect to X_1 if and only if it is (strongly) relatively quasiconvex with respect to X_2.*

Theorem 2.12. ([13, Theorem 4.19]) *Let G be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and let g be a hyperbolic element of G. Then the centralizer $C(g)$ of g in G is a strongly relatively quasiconvex subgroup in G.*

Lemma 2.13. *For every loxodromic element $b \in G$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that the following holds. Let m be a natural number and p a geodesic segment in $\Gamma(G, X\cup\mathcal{P})$ connecting 1 and b^m. Then the Hausdorff distance (induced by the $dist_X$-metric) between the sets p and $\mathcal{M} = \{b^i \,|\, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant m\}$ is at most τ.*

Proof. A path in $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$ is called an X-path if its edges are labelled by elements of X. Let $[1, b]_X$ be a path of minimal length among all X-paths from 1 to b. We set $q_i = b^i \cdot [1, b]_X$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q = q_0 q_1 \dots q_{m-1}$. The paths p and q have the same endpoints; the path p is a geodesic and the path q is a quasi-geodesic (with respect to $dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}$) with uniform constants (i.e., independent of the exponent m) by Lemma 2.4.

The paths p and q do not have backtracking [13, Definition 3.9] and every vertex of p and q is a phase vertex [13, Definition 3.10]: in the case of q, this is because the path has only labels from X; and for p this follows from the path being geodesic. Thus, the technical hypotheses of [13, Proposition 3.15] are satisfied. By this proposition, there is a uniform constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that the Hausdorff distance between p and q (with respect to $dist_X$) is at most ϵ. Then the Hausdorff distance betweeen p and $\mathcal{M}$ is at most $\tau := \epsilon + dist_X(1, b)$. □

We use the following result of B.H. Neumann.

Theorem 2.14. [12, Lemma 4.1] *Suppose that $(H_i)_{i\in I}$ is a finite family of subgroups of a group G and $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is a finite family of elements of G with the property $G = \bigcup_{i\in I} H_i x_i$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that H_i has a finite index in G.*

3. The main theorem

For this section, we let G be a group generated by a finite set X that is hyperbolic relative to a fixed peripheral structure $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. We put $\mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m} (P_\lambda \setminus \{1\})$. In the following proof we will fix a loxodromic element $b \in G$. To not interrupt the proof, we fix some notation and constants in advance.

- For an element $g \in G$, we denote $|g| := dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}(1, g)$. Clearly, $|g| \leqslant dist_X(1, g)$.
- Let ν be the constant defined in Theorem 2.3.
- Let λ, σ and τ be the constants defined in Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.13 for the loxodromic element b.

Enlarging ν, σ, τ and $1/\lambda$, we may assume that all of them are integers.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) *The subgroup H_2 is parabolic, i.e., conjugate into some element of $\mathbb{P}$.*
(2) *Some finite index subgroup of H_2 is conjugate into H_1.*

If H_2 is infinite and nonparabolic, then the length of the conjugator with respect to a finite generating system X of G can be bounded in terms of $|X|$, the quasiconvexity constant of H_1, and the minimal X-length of loxodromic elements of H_2.

Proof. We may assume that H_2 is infinite. Suppose that H_2 is nonparabolic. By Lemma 2.9, H_2 contains a loxodromic element b.

Ultimately, we obtain the finite index subgroup of H_2 by means of Theorem 2.14. We shall cover H_2 by finitely many cosets of subgroups. One of the subgroups is the intersection $H_2 \cap E_G(b)$. In order to cover the other elements, let us consider an arbitrary element $a \in H_2 \setminus E_G(b)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $z_n \in G$ such that $z_n a b^n z_n^{-1} \in H_1$. Let $x_n \in G$ be the shortest element with respect to the metric $dist_{X\cup\mathcal{P}}$ among those elements which satisfy the following property: There exist $k, l \geqslant 0$ such that $k + l = n$ and

$$x_n \cdot b^k a b^l \cdot x_n^{-1} = z_n a b^n z_n^{-1}.$$

We consider the path γ_n starting at 1 with label $x_n b^k a b^l x_n^{-1}$. The path γ_n ends at a point $h_n \in H_1$. We also consider the geodesic 6-gone $KABCDN$ in $\Gamma(G, X \cup \mathcal{P})$,

where $K = 1$, $A = x_n$, $B = x_n b^k$, $C = x_n b^k a$, $D = x_n b^k a b^l$, and $N = x_n b^k a b^l x_n^{-1}$ (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1.

Let ϵ_1 be the quasiconvexity constant for H_1. Let $\mathcal{S}(r)$ be the number of words of length up to r in the alphabet X. We choose

$$n := (\mathcal{A} + 2) \cdot (\mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_3 + \mathcal{B}_4 + 2),$$

where $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{S}(\tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &: = (\sigma + 2\tau + 8\nu)/\lambda, & \mathcal{B}_2 &: = (\sigma + \tau + 4\nu + |a|)/\lambda, \\ \mathcal{B}_3 &: = \mathcal{S}(2\tau + 4\nu), & \mathcal{B}_4 &: = (\tau + 4\nu + 1) \cdot \mathcal{S}(\tau + 4\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k \geqslant l$. Then $k \geqslant n/2$.

For each $i \in [0, k]$, we consider the vertex $V_i = A \cdot b^i$. By Lemma 2.13, there exists a vertex $V_i' \in [A, B]$ such that

$$dist_X(V_i, V_i') \leqslant \tau.$$

By Theorem 2.3, there exists a point $V_i'' \in [A, K] \cup [K, N] \cup [N, D] \cup [D, C] \cup [C, B]$ such that

$$dist_X(V_i', V_i'') < 4\nu.$$

In particular,

$$dist_X(V_i, V_i'') < 4\nu + \tau.$$

We prove that if k is sufficiently large, then there are many consecutive values of $i \in [0, k]$ such that $V_i'' \in [K, N]$. We will consider four cases, where $V_i'' \notin [K, N]$ and show that each case can occur only for a restricted number of values of i, which we shall bound explicitly.

Case 1. Suppose that $V_i'' \in [A, K]$.

We set $y_n := x_n b^i$. Then

$$y_n \cdot b^{k-i} a b^{l+i} \cdot y_n^{-1} = x_n \cdot b^k a b^l \cdot x_n^{-1}.$$

By minimality of $|x_n|$ and using Lemma 2.4, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n| \leqslant |y_n| = |KV_i| &\leqslant |KA| - |AV_i''| + |V_i'' V_i| \\ &\leqslant |x_n| - |AV_i| + 2|V_i'' V_i| \\ &\leqslant |x_n| - (i\lambda - \sigma) + 2(\tau + 4\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathcal{B}_1$. In particular, the number of such i is at most $\mathcal{B}_1 + 1$.

Case 2. Suppose that $V_i'' \in [B, C]$.
Then

$$|V_i B| \leqslant |V_i V_i'| + |V_i' V_i''| + |V_i'' B| \leqslant \tau + 4\nu + |a|.$$

On the other side, since $V_i = x_n b^i$ and $B = x_n b^k$, we get by Lemma 2.4 that

$$|V_i B| = |b^{k-i}| \geqslant \lambda \cdot (k - i) - \sigma.$$

Thus $k - \mathcal{B}_2 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. In particular, the number of such i is at most $\mathcal{B}_2 + 1$.

Case 3. Suppose that $V_i'' \in [C, D]$.
Recall that $D = C \cdot b^l$. By Lemma 2.13, there exists a vertex $W_i \in \{Cb^j \mid 0 \leqslant j \leqslant l\}$ such that $dist_X(V_i'', W_i) \leqslant \tau$. Then $dist_X(V_i, W_i) \leqslant 2\tau + 4\nu$. We write $W_i = C \cdot b^{s_i}$, where $0 \leqslant s_i \leqslant l$.

Since $V_i = A \cdot b^i$ and $W_i = A \cdot b^k a b^{s_i}$, we have

$$dist_X(1, b^{k-i} a b^{s_i}) = dist_X(V_i, W_i) \leqslant 2\tau + 4\nu.$$

We claim that this case can occur for at most $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{S}(2\tau + 4\nu)$ values of $i \in [0, k]$. Indeed, otherwise there would exist different i and j such that $b^{k-i} a b^{s_i} = b^{k-j} a b^{s_j}$. Then $a^{-1} b^{j-i} a = b^{s_j - s_i}$. Lemma 2.5 implies that $s_j - s_i = \pm(j - i)$. By Theorem 2.6, we obtain $a \in E_G(b)$ that contradicts our assumption.

Case 4. Suppose that $V_i'' \in [N, D]$.
We set $y_n := x_n b^{-l} a^{-1} b^{-(k-i)}$. Then $y_n \cdot b^{k-i} a b^{l+i} \cdot y_n^{-1} = x_n \cdot b^k a b^l \cdot x_n^{-1}$. Hence, by minimality of $|x_n|$, we have $|x_n| \leqslant |y_n|$.

Note that y_n is the label of a path from N to V_i. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n| &= |NV_i| \leqslant |NV_i''| + |V_i'' V_i| \\ &= |ND| - |V_i'' D| + |V_i'' V_i| \\ &\leqslant |x_n| - |V_i'' D| + (\tau + 4\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then $|DV_i''| \leqslant \tau + 4\nu$.

We claim that this case can occur for at most $\mathcal{B}_4 = (\tau + 4\nu + 1)\mathcal{S}(\tau + 4\nu)$ values of $i \in [0, k]$. Indeed, since V_i'' lies on the geodesic $[D, N]$ and $|DV_i''| \leqslant \tau + 4\nu$, there are at most $\tau + 4\nu + 1$ possibilities for V_i''. Since $dist_X(V_i, V_i'') \leqslant \tau + 4\nu$, there are at most $(\tau + 4\nu + 1) \cdot \mathcal{S}(\tau + 4\nu)$ possibilities for V_i, and hence for i.

By the choice of n, there exists a subset $I \subseteq [0,k]$ consisting of $\mathcal{A}+1$ consecutive integers such that $V_i'' \in [K,N]$ for every $i \in I$. Since the subgroup H_1 is ϵ_1-quasi-convex, there exists $V_i''' \in H_1$ with $dist_X(V_i'', V_i''') \leqslant \epsilon_1$. We choose an X-geodesic $[V_i, V_i''']$ for each $i \in I$. We have

$$dist_X(V_i, V_i''') \leqslant dist_X(V_i, V_i'') + dist_X(V_i'', V_i''') \leqslant \tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1.$$

Since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1)$ is the number of words of length up to $\tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1$ in the alphabet X, there exist different $i, j \in I$ such that $[V_i, V_i''']$ and $[V_j, V_j''']$ have the same labels. Let g be this label. Then the label of the path $[V_i''' V_i] \cup [V_i, V_j] \cup [V_j, V_j''']$ is $g^{-1} b^{j-i} g$. We set $s_1 = j - i$. Thus, there exist $g \in G$ and $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$g^{-1} b^{s_1} g \in H_1, \tag{3.1}$$

$0 < |s_1| \leqslant \mathcal{A}$, and $dist_X(1, g) \leqslant \tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1$.

Moreover, the label of the path $[K, A] \cup [A, V_i] \cup [V_i, V_i''']$ is $x_n b^{s_0} g$ for some s_0, and we have

$$x_n b^{s_0} g \in H_1. \tag{3.2}$$

Recall that

$$x_n b^k a b^l x_n^{-1} \in H_1. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that there are exponents $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $g^{-1} b^p a b^q g \in H_1$, and using (3.1), we can in addition arrange for $0 \leqslant p, q \leqslant |s_1| \leqslant \mathcal{A}$. Thus,

$$a \in b^{-p} g H_1 g^{-1} b^p \cdot b^{-(q+p)}.$$

Since a is an arbitrary element in $H_2 \setminus E_G(b)$ and $dist_X(1, g) \leqslant \tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1$, we have

$$H_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{(t,z) \in M} (z^{-1} H_1 z \cdot b^{-t}) \cup E_G(b),$$

where $M = \{(t, z) \in \mathbb{Z} \times G \,|\, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 2\mathcal{A},\ dist_X(1, z) \leqslant \mathcal{A} \cdot dist_X(1, b) + \tau + 4\nu + \epsilon_1\}$.

Since $b \in H_2$, we have

$$H_2 = \bigcup_{(t,z) \in M} ((z^{-1} H_1 z \cap H_2) \cdot b^{-t}) \cup (E_G(b) \cap H_2).$$

Since the set M is finite, we deduce from Theorem 2.14 that either $E_G(b) \cap H_2$ is of finite index in H_2, or there exists $(t, z) \in M$ such that $z^{-1} H_1 z \cap H_2$ is of finite index in H_2. In the first case, $\langle b \rangle$ has finite index in H_2 and we are done. In the second case, a finite index subgroup of H_2 is conjugate into H_1. □

4. Toral relatively hyperbolic groups

In this section we specialize Theorem 3.1 for the case, where the peripheral subgroups of the relatively hyperbolic group G are virtually abelian.

Theorem 4.1. ([13, Theorem 1.4]) *Let G be a group, $\mathbb{P} = \{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a collection of subgroups of G. Suppose that G is finitely presented with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and the relative Dehn function of G with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ is well-defined, i.e., it takes finite values for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions hold.*

(1) *For any $g_1, g_2 \in G$ the intersection $P_\lambda^{g_1} \cap P_\mu^{g_2}$ is finite whenever $\lambda \neq \mu$.*
(2) *The intersection $P_\lambda^g \cap P_\lambda$ is finite for any $g \notin P_\lambda$.*

A quasiconvex subgroup of a relatively hyperbolic group is hyperbolic relative to an induced peripheral structure:

Theorem 4.2. ([10, Theorem 9.1]) *Let G be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = (P_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and let $H \leqslant G$ be a relatively quasiconvex subgroup. Consider the following collection of subgroups of H:*

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}} := \{H \cap gPg^{-1} \mid g \in G,\ P \in \mathbb{P},\ \text{and } H \cap gPg^{-1} \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

Then the elements of $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ lie in only finitely many conjugacy classes of H. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{O}$ is a set of representatives of these classes, then H is hyperbolic relative to $\mathbb{O}$. We call $\mathbb{O}$ the induced peripheral structure of H.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\mathbb{P} = (P_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is a subgroup of a peripheral subgroup $P_\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$. Suppose that H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then there exists a finite collection of elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that each element of infinite order of H_2 is conjugate (in P_λ) into the union of $P_\lambda \cap g_i^{-1}H_1g_i$. The elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ can be chosen so that they depend only on H_1, but not on H_2.*

Proof. We will use notation of Theorem 4.2 applied to $H = H_1$. First, we fix a peripheral structure of H_1 induced by the peripheral structure of G: Then there exists a finite collection of elements $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ of G and finite subsets $\Lambda_i \subset \Lambda$ for each $i \in I$ such that

- $\mathbb{O} = (H_1 \cap g_iP_\mu g_i^{-1})_{i\in I,\mu\in\Lambda_i}$ consists of representatives of conjugacy classes in H_1 of subgroups from $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ and
- H_1 is hyperbolic relative to $\mathbb{O}$.

Recall that $H_2 \leqslant P_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $h \in H_2$ be an element of infinite order. We show that h is conjugate (in P_λ) into $P_\lambda \cap g_i^{-1}H_1g_i$ for some $i \in I$. By assumption, there exists $g \in G$ such that $ghg^{-1} \in H_1$. Thus,

$$ghg^{-1} \in H_1 \cap gP_\lambda g^{-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

In particular, $H_1 \cap gP_\lambda g^{-1}$ is infinite. By choice of $\mathbb{O}$, there exist $i \in I$, $\mu \in \Lambda_i$ and $z \in H_1$ such that

$$z^{-1}(H_1 \cap gP_\lambda g^{-1})z = H_1 \cap g_iP_\mu g_i^{-1}. \tag{4.2}$$

It follows that $z^{-1}gP_\lambda g^{-1}z \cap g_iP_\mu g_i^{-1}$ is infinite, whence by Theorem 4.1, we have $\lambda = \mu$ and $x := g^{-1}zg_i \in P_\lambda$. Now (4.1) and (4.2) imply $x^{-1}hx \in P_\lambda \cap g_i^{-1}H_1g_i$, and we are done. □

Lemma 4.4. ([13, Lemma 5.8]) *Let G be a group generated by a finite set X. Suppose that G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of recursively presented subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$, each with solvable conjugacy problem. There exists a computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: if $g \in G$ is conjugate into P_λ, then $z^{-1}gz \in P_\lambda$ for some $z \in G$ with $dist_X(1, z) \leqslant f(dist_X(1, g))$.*

Since finitely generated virtually abelian groups are finitely presented and have solvable conjugacy problem, we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that a finitely generated group G is hyperbolic relative to a collection of virtually abelian subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$. Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G such that H_1 is relatively quasiconvex with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then some finite index subgroup of H_2 is conjugate into H_1.*

Moreover, the following holds:

(a) *If H_2 is infinite and nonparabolic, then the length of the conjugator with respect to a finite generating system X of G can be bounded in terms of $|X|$, the quasiconvexity constant of H_1, and the minimal X-length of loxodromic elements of H_2.*

(b) *If H_2 is infinite and parabolic, then a conjugator may be chosen whose X-length is bounded a priory in terms of H_1 and the minimal X-length of elements of infinite order in H_2.*

(c) *If H_2 is finite then the finite index subgroup and the conjugator can be taken to be trivial.*

Proof. We assume that H_2 is infinite, otherwise the statement is trivial. If H_2 is nonparabolic, then the statement follows from Theorem 3.1. Thus, we assume that H_2 is infinite and parabolic. Then there exist $x \in G$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $x^{-1}H_2x \leqslant P$.

Let $g \in H_2$ be the element of infinite order with the minimal X-length. Since $x^{-1}gx \in P$, we have $z^{-1}gz \in P$ for some $z \in G$ with $dist_X(1, z) \leqslant f(dist_X(1, g))$ (see Lemma 4.4). Then $P^{z^{-1}x} \cap P$ is infinite. By Lemma 4.1 we have $x \in zP$. Hence, $z^{-1}H_2z \leqslant P$, where the X-length of z is bounded as above. Thus, we may assume that H_2 lies in P.

Let P' be a maximal torsion free abelean subgroup of P. Clearly, $k := |P : P'|$ is finite. Let $z_1, \dots, z_k$ be a set of representatives of left cosets of P' in P. We set $H_2' := H_2 \cap P'$.

By Lemma 4.3, there exists a finite collection of elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that H_2' is elementwise conjugate (in P) into the union of $P \cap H_1^{g_i}$. Then H_2' lies in the union of $P \cap H_1^{g_i z_j}$, and we have

$$H_2' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} (H_2' \cap H_1^{g_i z_j}).$$

By Theorem 2.14, one of the subgroups $H_2' \cap H_1^{g_i z_j}$ has finite index in H_2' and hence in H_2. Recall that by Lemma 4.3, the elements $g_1, \dots, g_n$ depend only on H_1, and the elements $z_1, \dots, z_k$ depend only on P. □

5. An application to limit groups

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is called a *retract* of G if there exists an epimorphism $f : G \to H$ with $f_{|H} = \mathrm{id}$. The epimorphism f is called a *retraction*. Equivalently, H is retract of G if $G = K \rtimes H$ for some subgroup K of G. A subgroup H of G is called a *virtual retract* of G if H is a retract of a finite index subgroup

of G. In the following proposition we list some properties of limit groups that we use later.

Proposition 5.1. (1) *Limit groups have the unique root property, i.e., $x^n = y^n$ with $n \neq 0$ implies $x = y$. In particular, limit groups are torsion free.*
(2) *Retracts of limit groups are closed under taking of roots.*
(3) *If H is a finitely generated subgroup of a limit group G, then H is a virtual retract of G* [17, Theorem B]*, and hence is quasi-isometrically embedded* [17, Corollary 3.12]*. In particular, H is quasiconvex in G.*

Proof. (1) It suffices to argue the unique root property for subgroups of limit groups generated by two elements. By [7, Proposition 3.1 (4)], those subgroups are free or free abelian; and those have the unique root property.

(2) Let G be a limit group and $f : G \to H$ a retraction. Suppose that $g \in G$ is such that $g^n \in H$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f(g)^n = f(g^n) = g^n$. Since G has the unique root property, we have $g = f(g) \in H$. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a limit group and let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of G, where H_1 is finitely generated. Suppose that H_2 is elementwise conjugate into H_1. Then there exists a finite index subgroup of H_2 which is conjugate into H_1.*

The index depends only on H_1. The length of the conjugator with respect to a fixed generating system X of G depends only on H_1 and m, where

$$m = \begin{cases} \min\limits_{g \in H_2^0} dist_X(1, g) & \text{if } H_2^0 \neq \emptyset. \\ \min\limits_{g \in H_2 \setminus \{1\}} dist_X(1, g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of maximal non-cyclic abelian subgroups of G. As a limit group, G is hyperbolic relative to the finite family $\mathbb{P}$ ([8, Theorem 4.5], another proof is given in [1, Corollary 3.5]).

By statement (3) of Proposition 5.1, H_1 is quasiconvex. By Corollary 4.5, there exists a finite index subgroup $\widetilde{H_2}$ of H_2 and an element $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}\widetilde{H_2}g \leqslant H_1$ and the X-length of g is bounded as above. It remains to prove that the index $|H_2 : \widetilde{H}_2|$ only depends on H_1.

By statement (3) of Proposition 5.1, there exists a finite index subgroup $\widetilde{G}$ of G such that H_1 is a retract of $\widetilde{G}$. Let N be a finite index subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$ which is normal in G.

From $g^{-1}\widetilde{H_2}g \leqslant H_1$ we deduce $(g^{-1}H_2g)^{n!} \leqslant H_1$, where $n = |H_2 : \widetilde{H_2}|$. In particular,

$$\big(g^{-1}(H_2 \cap N)g\big)^{n!} \leqslant H_1.$$

Since H_1 is a retract of $\widetilde{G}$ and $g^{-1}(H_2 \cap N)g \leqslant N \leqslant \widetilde{G}$, we deduce from the statement (2) of Proposition 5.1 that

$$g^{-1}(H_2 \cap N)g \leqslant H_1.$$

The estimate $|H_2 : H_2 \cap N| \leqslant |G : N|$ completes the proof. □

References

[1] E. Alibegović, *A combination theorem for relatively hyperbolic groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **3** (2005), 459-466.

[2] G.N. Arzhantseva, A. Minasyan and D. Osin, *The SQ-universality and residual properties of relatively hyperbolic groups*, Journal of Algebra, **315** (1) (2007), 165-177.

[3] O. Bogopolski, K.-U. Bux, *Subgroup conjugacy separability for surface groups*. Preprint (2014), 22 pages. Available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.6203.pdf

[4] O. Bogopolski, K.-U. Bux, *Subgroup conjugacy separabiliy of hyperbolic $\mathcal{QVH}$-groups*. Preprint (2016), 22 pages. Available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.03229v2.pdf

[5] O. Bogopolski, E. Ventura, *On endomorphisms of torsion-free hyperbolic groups*, International Journal of Algebra and Computations, **21** (2011), no. 8, 1415-1446.

[6] S.C. Chagas, P.A. Zalesskii, *Limit groups are subgroup conjugacy separable*, Preprint, 2015. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.04607.pdf

[7] C. Champetier, V. Guiradel, *Limit Groups as Limits of Free Groups*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **146** Issue 1 (2005), 1-75.

[8] F. Dahmani, *Combination of convergence groups*, Geom. Topol., **7** (2003), 933-963.

[9] D. Groves, J.F. Manning, *Dehn fillings and elementary splittings*, Preprint, 2016. Available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03831v2.pdf

[10] C.C. Hruska, *Relative hyperbolicity and relative quasiconvexity for countable groups*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., **10** (2010), no. 3, 1807-1856.

[11] A. Minasyan, *Some properties of subsets of hyperbolic groups*, Comm. in Algebra **33** (2005), no. 3, 909-935

[12] B.H. Neumann, *Groups covered by permutable subsets.* J. London Math Soc., **29** (1954), 236-248.

[13] D.V. Osin, *Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic property, and algorithmic problems*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (2006), no. 843.
See also: arXiv.org/pdf/math/0404040v2.pdf.

[14] D.V. Osin, *Elementary subgroups of relatively hyperbolic groups and bounded generation*, Intern J. Algebra and Computation, **16** (2006), no. 1, 99-118.

[15] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer, 1980

[16] P. Tukia, *Convergence groups and Gromov's metric hyperbolic spaces*, New Zealand J. Math., **23** (2) (1994), 157–187.

[17] H. Wilton, *Hall's theorem for limit groups*, GAFA, **18** (2008), 271-303.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia
and Düsseldorf University, Germany
E-mail address: Oleg_Bogopolski@yahoo.com

Bielefeld University, Germany
E-mail address: bux_2009@kubux.net